Film drainage and the lifetime of bubbles
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[1] We present the results of new laboratory experiments that provide constraints on inter bubble film thinning and bubble coalescence as a consequence of liquid expulsion by gravitational and capillary forces. To ensure dynamic similarity to magmatic systems, the experiments are at small Reynolds numbers \( Re \ll 1 \) and cover a wide range of Bond numbers \((10^{-3} \leq Bo \leq 10^2)\). Results indicate that at \( Bo < 0.25 \) film drainage is due to capillary forces, whereas at \( Bo > 0.25 \) gravitational forces result in film thinning. The film drainage time scale is given by \( t \sim C \ln (\alpha) \tau \) and is orders of magnitude faster than often assumed for magmatic systems. Here, \( C \sim 10 \) is an empirical constant and \( \alpha \) is the ratio of initial film thickness to film thickness at the time of rupture and \( \tau \) is the characteristic capillary or buoyancy time scale at values of \( Bo < 0.25 \) and \( Bo > 0.25 \), respectively.
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1. Introduction

[2] Magmatic processes that govern explosive volcanic eruptions are largely inaccessible to direct observation. To reconstruct the subsurface processes that determine eruptive style and intensity, scientists rely on indirect observations and direct observations from eruptive products that are pyroclasts. Of fundamental importance for volcanic eruptions are magmatic volatiles and their exsolution because they affect magma buoyancy [e.g., Gonnermann and Manga, 2007], magma rheology [e.g., Stein and Spera, 1992; Manga et al., 1998; Rust and Manga, 2002; Pal, 2003; Llewellin and...
Manga, 2005], as well as the potential energy required for explosive eruptive behaviors [e.g., Sparks, 1978; Alidibirov and Dingwell, 2000; Spieler et al., 2004; Kayaguchi and Mitani, 2005; Namiki and Manga, 2005; Mueller et al., 2008; Kayaguchi et al., 2008; Namiki and Manga, 2008; Alatorre-Ibargüengoitia et al., 2010].

[3] Volatile exsolution results in the formation of bubbles within the ascending magma, which may become preserved as vesicles in pyroclasts. Fundamental properties of pyroclasts are therefore the volume fraction of vesicles and their size distribution [e.g., Sparks, 1978; Sparks and Brazier, 1982; Whitham and Sparks, 1986; Houghton and Wilson, 1989; Toramaru, 1989, 1990; Cashman and Mangan, 1994; Blower et al., 2003; Burgisser and Gardner, 2005]. They are thought to provide fundamental constraints on the magma vesiculation process and by inference on magma ascent rates and dynamics [e.g., Rutherford and Hill, 1993; Lovejoy et al., 2004; Gaonac’h et al., 2005; Toramaru, 2006; Blundy and Cashman, 2008; Rutherford, 2008; Gonnermann and Houghton, 2012].

Vesicle volume fraction and size distribution are thought to provide a time-integrated record of syn-eruptive bubble nucleation, bubble growth, bubble coalescence, and open-system degassing through permeable bubble networks that are formed by bubble coalescence [e.g., Eichelberger et al., 1986; Westrich and Eichelberger, 1994; Klug and Cashman, 1996; Saar and Manga, 1999; Blower, 2001; Burgisser and Gardner, 2005; Okumura et al., 2006; Wright et al., 2006; Namiki and Manga, 2008; Okumura et al., 2008; Wright et al., 2009; Rust and Cashman, 2011; Castro et al., 2012].

[3] Of these three processes—nucleation, growth, and coalescence—bubble coalescence is perhaps the most difficult one to reconstruct quantitatively from vesicle size distributions, in part because of a relative dearth of rigorous quantitative constraints on coalescence rates [e.g., Herd and Pinkerton, 1997; Klug and Cashman, 1996; Klug et al., 2002; Lovejoy et al., 2004; Gaonac’h et al., 2005; Burgisser and Gardner, 2005; Gardner, 2007; Bai et al., 2008; Gonde et al., 2011; Castro et al., 2012]. Not only is this of importance for the eruptive process itself, but also to assess the extent to which vesicles record bubble coalescence during the time interval between pyroclast formation by magma fragmentation and quenching.

[3] The objective of this study is to provide some new constraints on bubble coalescence rates. Coalescence is the process whereby the liquid film that separates adjacent bubbles thins and ruptures, transforming two or more individual bubbles into a single bubble of larger size [Chan et al., 2011, and references therein]. Because coalescence is a consequence of thinning of the liquid film that separates bubbles, the rates of film thinning under different conditions of driving and resisting forces provide a fundamental constraint on bubble coalescence rates and will be the focus of our study.

[6] Film thinning can be caused by liquid expulsion due to (1) gravitational forces, associated with the density difference between bubbles and surrounding liquid [e.g., Jones and Wilson, 1978; Proussevitch et al., 1993; Debrégeas et al., 1998]: (2) capillary forces [e.g., Proussevitch et al., 1993]: (3) bubble growth, due to decompression or diffusion of dissolved gases from the liquid to bubbles [e.g., Gardner, 2007]; as well as (4) shearing induced by the flow of the entire suspension, for example, during magma ascent [e.g., Okumura et al., 2006, 2008; Castro et al., 2012], or perhaps induced by bubble coalescence itself [e.g., Martula et al., 2000].

[7] Here, we present new results of laboratory experiments on film drainage of “bare” viscous bubbles [Debrégeas et al., 1998], as a consequence of liquid expulsion (drainage) by both gravitational and capillary forces. The results of our experiments provide a base case against which experiments or predictions of bubble coalescence in more complicated cases, for example, those involving multiple bubbles or growing bubbles, can be compared. Figure 1 illustrates the analogy between such film drainage in experiments and vesicular magmas, as preserved in pyroclasts. We refer the reader to section 2 for a general introduction to film drainage and to section 3 for a detailed discussion of our experiments, including the similarity between film drainage in our experiments and in magmas. We discuss our experimental results in section 4 and provide a brief discussion of potential applications of our results to magmatic systems in section 5.

2. Film Drainage

2.1. Previous Work

[5] The drainage of liquid films has been studied extensively [e.g., Charles and Mason, 1960; Princen, 1963; Hartland, 1970]. In our experiments, the film was the surfactant-free liquid layer surrounding a stationary air bubble suspended
beneath the free surface of a liquid layer. Formulations for the variation in film thickness, $h(t)$, with time, $t$, have been derived from lubrication theory under the assumption of immobile interfaces, that is, no-slip boundaries on either side of the liquid film (Figure 2a) [e.g., Charles and Mason, 1960; Hartland, 1970; Ivanov and Traykov, 1976; Toramaru, 1988; Proussevitch et al., 1993]

$$t \sim \frac{3\pi^2 \eta A^2}{16\pi^2 F} \left( \frac{1}{\delta^2} - \frac{1}{\delta_0^2} \right).$$  (1)

Here, $\delta = \delta_0$ when $t = 0$, $A \approx \pi R^2$ is the area of the draining film, $R$ is the bubble radius, and $\eta$ is the liquid viscosity. $F$ is the force, either gravitational or capillary, acting on the drop and causing film drainage, and $n$ is the number of immobile film interfaces.
For \( n = 2 \), a strongly stabilizing surfactant layer or other impurities cause both sides of the liquid film to be immobile. The velocity of the liquid within the film approaches zero at the interfaces and the resultant flow approximates to a Hagen-Poiseuille velocity profile [e.g., Lee and Hodgson, 1968]. In the absence of surfactants or other impurities that may change the film interfaces from being a completely free-slip boundary, it is expected that 
\[ n / C^2 \frac{Hartland, 1970}{2} \]. In this case, the flow within the film should be a plug flow (Figure 2b) [e.g., Lee and Hodgson, 1968]. In practice, many applications may involve partially mobile interfaces [e.g., Chesters and Hofman, 1982; Chesters, 1988; Yiantsios and Davis, 1991; Abid and Chesters, 1994; Oldenziel et al., 2012] with some intermediate value of \( n \) in the range of \( 0 < n < 2 \).

Precise values of \( n \) for bubbles in magmas, where the film interfaces may not be immobile, do not exist and it has typically been assumed that \( n = 2 \) [Toramaru, 1988; Proussevitch et al., 1993; Klug et al., 2002; Cashman and Mangan, 1994; Klug and Cashman, 1996; Mangan and Cashman, 1996; Cruz and Chouet, 1997; Navon and Lyakhovsky, 1998; Castro et al., 2012]. We will see subsequently that this can result in significant inaccuracies of estimated film drainage times.

2.2. The Capillary Length

If \( R \ll \sqrt{\sigma / \Delta \rho g} \), film drainage and bubble coalescence (neglecting bubble growth and deformation) are expected to be a consequence of capillary film drainage, with \( F = 2\pi \sigma A / R \). Here, \( \Delta \rho \) is the density difference between bubble and surrounding liquid, \( g \) is the acceleration due to gravity, and \( \sigma \) is surface tension.

In contrast, for \( R \gg \sqrt{\sigma / \Delta \rho g} \), gravitational drainage is expected to dominate and \( F = 4\pi R^3 \Delta \rho g / 3 \) [e.g., Charles and Mason, 1960; Hartland, 1970]. The length scale
\[ \lambda = \sqrt{\sigma / \Delta \rho g} \]
is called the capillary length and for bubbles in silicate melts it is thought to be of the order of 1 mm [Proussevitch et al., 1993].

2.3. Gravitational Drainage of “Bare” Viscous Bubbles

Consistent with previous results [Debrégeas et al., 1998; van der Schaaf and Beerkens, 2006], we find that in the absence of any surfactants or impurities, equation (1) does not reproduce the experimentally observed rates of gravitational film drainage, even for very small values of \( n \) [e.g., Davis and Smith, 1976; Traykov et al., 1977].

An alternate equation for the lifetime of such “bare” viscous bubbles, where films are not protected by surfactants or impurities, is based on fully mobile film interfaces [Debrégeas et al., 1998; van der Schaar and Beerkens, 2006]. Consider a buoyant bubble of radius \( R \), surrounded by a liquid film beneath a free surface (Figure 1a). Assuming a longitudinally uniform film thickness \( \left( \partial \psi / \partial \phi = 0 \right) \) and velocity within the film \( \left( \partial u / \partial \phi = 0 \right) \), an equation for the drainage velocity is given by
\[ u(\theta) = c(\theta) \frac{1}{\eta} \Delta \rho g R^2, \]
where \( c \) is a parameter that depends on the latitudinal position \( \theta \) and the driving force for film drainage is given by \( F = 4\pi R^3 \Delta \rho g / 3 \).

Local mass conservation, assuming that the liquid is incompressible, leads to

Figure 2. Schematic diagrams illustrating the mobility of gas-liquid interfaces: (a) The velocity profile for flow in a film with immobile interfaces and (b) the velocity profile for fully mobile interfaces.
\[
\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial (\delta u)}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial \delta}{\partial t} = 0,
\]

(4)

where \( \delta \) is the film thickness. Substituting equation (3) in the continuity equation leads to

\[
\frac{d\delta}{dt}\bigg|_{\theta=0} = -\frac{1}{C_g} \frac{\delta \Delta \rho g R}{\eta},
\]

(5)

where \( u = 0 \) at \( \theta = 0 \). Integration with separation of variables [Debrégeas et al., 1998; van der Schaaf and Beerkens, 2006] results in

\[
t_g = C_g \ln \left( \frac{\delta_0}{\delta_f} \right) \frac{\eta R}{\Delta \rho g R} \frac{1}{\tau_c},
\]

(6)

where \( t_g \) is the time required for gravitational film drainage at the apex of the bubble from an initial thickness, \( \delta_0 \), to a final thickness, \( \delta_f \), at which spontaneous rupture occurs. \( \tau_c = \eta R/\sigma \) is the characteristic buoyancy time scale and \( C_g \) is an empirical constant.

### 3. Experiments

#### 3.1. Overview

[21] We performed experiments in which a bubble of laboratory air was injected at the bottom of a layer of polydimethylsiloxane melt (PDMS a.k.a. silicone oil) and rose to the free surface. In our experiments, the width of the experimental box was always at least five times larger than the bubble diameter to avoid any boundary effects. During the experiments, bubble motion underwent two stages. The first stage was the buoyant ascent of the bubble toward the interface and the second was the drainage of the interfacial film above the stationary bubble [Pigeonneau and Sellier, 2011]. Once the bubble approached the surface, its rise velocity rapidly decreased, forming a protruding hemispherical cap of radius, \( R_{cap} \sim R = (3V/4\pi)^{1/3} \), where \( V \) is the volume of the bubble. Subsequently during the second stage, the liquid film that formed the cap thinned from an initial thickness, \( \delta_0 \), to a thickness, \( \delta_f \), at which the bubble spontaneously ruptured (Figures 3 and 4). The whole process was captured at up to 80 frames per second using a charge-coupled device camera connected to a Zeiss SteREO® microscope. The captured images were analyzed to measure bubble dimensions, as well as the time elapsed during stage two, that is between when the bubble rise velocity became negligible and when the bubble spontaneously ruptured (Figure 3). This duration is herein defined as drainage time, \( t_d \), and corresponds to a change of film thickness from \( \delta_0 \sim 100 \mu m \) to \( \delta_f \sim 100 nm \), or \( \ln (\delta_0/\delta_f) \approx 7 \).

#### 3.2. Dynamic Similarity

[22] Dynamic experiments on silicate melts are complicated, due in part to the requirement for high temperatures. To overcome this and other difficulties, analogue materials are commonly used in the study of magmatic processes [Mader et al., 2004]. However, for any laboratory experiment to provide useful constraints on the natural system, careful consideration must be given to appropriate scaling of laboratory conditions with respect to the natural processes in question.

[23] Two fluid-dynamical processes are considered to be dynamically similar, if the ratios of all forces
acting on corresponding fluid volumes and surfaces in the two systems are the same [Kline, 1986]. This can be achieved rigorously through dimensional analysis, which permits the reduction of a large number of parameters to a small number of dimensionless numbers [e.g., Bolster et al., 2011]. In the cases under consideration, the driving forces for film drainage of bare viscous bubbles are gravitational and surface tension forces, whereas the resisting force is due to viscosity [Debrègêas et al., 1998]. We will show subsequently why our laboratory experiments are dynamically similar to gravitationally dominated, as well as surface tension-dominated interbubble film drainage in magmas.

3.2.1. Reynolds Number

Inertial forces during film drainage of stagnant bubbles, that is in the absence of an external flow, can be neglected if the Reynolds number, \( Re = \rho U L / \eta \ll 1 \). Here, \( Re \) represents the film Reynolds number, which is the ratio of inertial to viscous forces associated with the flow at the scale of the liquid film, where \( U \) is the characteristic velocity and \( L \) is the characteristic length scale. For film drainage surrounding a stationary bubble, \( L \sim \delta \) and \( U \sim u \).

[25] We find that for all of our experiments \( Re \ll 1 \), implying that our results are applicable to film drainage in cases where the forces that resist fluid motion are dominated by the viscous force. It can be verified that in silicate melts, ranging from mafic to silicic in composition, gravitational or capillary drainage of melt films under most any realistic scenario will be at \( Re \ll 1 \) [e.g., Toramaru, 1988; Proussevitch et al., 1993; Manga and Stone, 1994].

3.2.2. Ohnesorge Number

The Ohnesorge number, \( Oh = \eta / \sqrt{2 \rho \sigma R} \), represents the ratio of viscous to inertial and capillary forces [e.g., Ohnesorge, 1936; Kočárková et al., 2013]. For all of our experiments, \( Oh \ll 1 \) indicating that viscous forces dominate film drainage.

![Figure 3](image-url)

**Figure 3.** (a) A typical experiment, showing measured position of the bubble relative to the free surface, \( d \). As the bubble approaches the free surface its velocity decreases. Once the bubble rise velocity approaches zero, film drainage begins and the drainage time, \( t_d \), is defined as the time thereafter until the bubble ruptures. (b) Schematic diagram of film thinning, with the constant film thinning rate \( \delta \) in semilog scale, indicating that film thickness decays exponentially with time.

![Figure 4](image-url)

**Figure 4.** Experimental images of one experiment starting with (left) the bubble approaching the free interface through film drainage and finally (right) coalescence, that is film rupture.
Consistent with previous work [e.g., Kočárková et al., 2013, and references therein], we find no dependence of our results on the Ohnesorge number.

### 3.2.3. Bond Number

[27] The transition between capillary and gravitational film drainage occurs at $R \approx \lambda$. At this transition $t_c = t_g$, so that from equations (6) and (9)

$$\frac{C_e}{C_g} = \frac{\Delta \rho g R^2}{\sigma}. \quad (10)$$

[28] The term on the right-hand side of equation (10) is conventionally defined as the Bond number [e.g., Stone, 1994],

$$Bo = \frac{\Delta \rho g R^2}{\sigma}. \quad (11)$$

[28] At $Bo \gg 1$, it is expected that gravitational forces dominate film drainage, whereas for $Bo \ll 1$ surface tension forces are expected to be dominant [e.g., Proussevitch et al., 1993; Stone, 1994; Pigeonneau and Sellier, 2011]. As discussed in detail in section 4, our experimental results show that the transition from capillary to gravitational film drainage occurs at $Bo = C_e/C_g = 0.25$, which corresponds to $R = \lambda/2$. Accordingly, bubble shapes change from near spherical at $Bo < 0.25$ to hemispherical at $Bo > 0.25$ (Figure 5) [e.g., Pigeonneau and Sellier, 2011]. By varying bubble radii, our experiments spanned the full range of dynamical behavior, from $Bo \ll 1$ to $Bo \gg 1$ (Table 1). This allows us to obtain a self-consistent scaling for film drainage for both capillary-dominated and gravity-dominated film drainage.

### 3.3. Geometric Similarity

[30] The coalescence of bubbles suspended in viscous liquids, if not caused by deformation due to bulk flow of the suspension, is the consequence of the thinning of interbubble liquid films. It can be caused by either bubble growth, gravitational forces, capillary forces, or some combination thereof. Here, we discuss why and how our experiments with single bubbles can provide constraints on interbubble film drainage, by gravitational or capillary forces, in liquids containing many closely spaced bubbles, as in vesicular magmas.

[31] The geometric constraints of packing a large volume fraction of bubbles into a given volume of melt, require some degree of bubble deformation, relative to an ideal spherical shape [e.g., Princen et al., 1980]. Because the Laplace pressure is greater for smaller bubbles than for larger ones, the latter deform more readily than smaller bubbles as they impinge upon one another. The resultant arrangement is often one where smaller bubbles protrude into larger ones. The resultant interbubble films are not flat or of uniform thickness, but of concave/convex shape and thicken toward plateau borders (Figures 1c–1e) [e.g., Proussevitch et al., 1993; Gaonac’h et al., 1996; Klug and Cashman, 1996; Polacci et al., 2001; Klug et al., 2002; Rust and Manga, 2002; Rust and Cashman, 2004; Giachetti et al., 2010; Shea et al., 2010; Castro et al., 2012].

[32] Regardless of the detailed geometry, film drainage is a consequence of liquid flow within the film, caused by a gradient in liquid pressure toward the plateau borders [e.g., Proussevitch et al., 1993]. In the absence of externally applied deformation, for example, due to magma flow, and neglecting bubble growth, this pressure gradient will be a consequence of capillary and gravitational forces. If the dominant force is due to gravity ($Bo > 0.25$), and irrespective of the precise orientation of the interbubble film relative to the center of gravity, there will be an average pressure gradient of $\Delta \rho g$ within the suspension. This
pressure gradient causes downward flow within the plateau borders and flow toward the plateau borders within the interbubble films [Proussevitch et al., 1993].

[33] If, on the other hand, the dominant force is due to surface tension (Bo < 0.25), then the dominant pressure gradients within the interbubble film arise because of changes in the radius of curvature of the film’s bounding surface. Surface tension requires a pressure jump across the liquid-gas interface, equal to the value of surface tension divided by the local radius of curvature, 2σ/R. Because the gas pressure inside the bubble is essentially uniform, changes in capillary pressure along the liquid-gas interface require lateral pressure gradients within the film itself. Typically, the radius of curvature is smallest in the vicinity of plateau borders, as illustrated in Figures 1b–1e and also in a wide range of publications focused on vesicles in pyroclasts [e.g., Klug and Cashman, 1994; Klug et al., 2002; Formenti and Druitt, 2003; Gurioli et al., 2005; Adams et al., 2006; Degruyter et al., 2010; Giachetti et al., 2010; Houghton et al., 1998; Baker et al., 2012]. Therefore, the liquid pressure within the interbubble film is smallest near the plateau borders, resulting in capillary flow from the interbubble films toward the plateau borders.

[34] Although our experiments comprise a single bubble at the surface of a larger volume of liquid, the liquid film that surrounds our bubble is subjected to the same average gravitational pressure gradient Δρg as the liquid comprising an interbubble film that is located somewhere within a bubbly suspension. Similarly, capillary stresses, in both our experiments and bubble suspension, scale as the ratio of surface tension to bubble radius, σ/R.

Table 1. Experimental Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PDMS* Viscosity</td>
<td>η</td>
<td>10–1000</td>
<td>Pa s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>ρ</td>
<td>971–977</td>
<td>kg m⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface tension</td>
<td>σ</td>
<td>21.2–21.6</td>
<td>mN m⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial film thickness</td>
<td>δ₀</td>
<td>10–100</td>
<td>μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final film thickness</td>
<td>δ₀</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>μm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Includes our experiments presented herein, as well as some experiments by Kočářková [2012].

[35] As described in section 4.3.2, the initial film thickness, δ₀, was measured from the bubble images when the bubble became stagnant (Figure 3).

[36] The film thickness at the time of rupture, δ₀, was measured as described in section 4.3.2 and is consistent with the values determined by Debrégeas et al. [1998] and Kočářková [2012].

4. Results

4.1. Film Drainage in PDMS

[35] The drainage times, τd, of the liquid film surrounding air bubbles in PDMS fluid are shown as a function of R in Figure 6a. For a given viscosity, the value of τd increases with R until there is a transition in the dynamics of film drainage and τd decreases with R. This inflection in the trend of τd versus R represents the transition between capillary and gravitational film drainage, at R = λ/2 = 0.75 mm and Bo = 0.25.

[36] A graph of the dimensionless drainage time τd/τg versus Bo is shown in Figure 6b. It demonstrates that the drainage time for all experiments with Bo ≥ 0.25 can be predicted by equation (6), with τd/τg ≈ 1 for Cg = 5. We also show that the values of τd/τg, determined from the published results of Debrégeas et al. [1998] and Kočářková [2012] (open circles) overlap with our results and do not show a dependence of Cg on Bond number, which is consistent with previous results [Kočářková et al., 2013].

[37] Because our experiments span 2 orders of magnitude on either side of Bo = 0.25, we are also able to obtain an empirical relation for the capillary drainage time of bare viscous bubbles. We find that for Bo < 0.25, a value of Cg = 20 in equation (9) provides a good estimate of capillary drainage time, so that τd/τg ≈ 1 (Figure 6c).

[38] It should be noted that equation (1) does not provide an adequate fit to most of our experimental results. This is demonstrated in Figure 7, which compares measured to predicted values of drainage time. While equations (6) and (9) provide a good match to observed values, equation (1) with n = 2, as frequently used for film drainage in magmas [e.g., Cashman et al., 1994; Klug and Cashman, 1996; Mangan and Cashman, 1996; Cruz and Chouet, 1997; Herd and Pinkerton, 1997; Navon and Lyakhovsky, 1998; Castro et al.,
2012], overestimates drainage times by up to 8 or 9 orders of magnitude. Even for very small values of the poorly constrained parameter \( n \), only part of the full data set can be matched.

4.2. Film Drainage in Silicate Melts

4.2.1. Observed and Predicted Drainage Times

[39] Figure 7 demonstrates that our scaling relations obtained from film drainage in PDMS also predict film drainage in silicate melts with reasonable accuracy. For silicate melts, Kočárová et al. [2013] suggest a modest dependence of \( C_g \) on Bond number near the transition to capillary drainage, with the reason for such a dependence remaining unresolved. We find that a Bond number dependent \( C_g \), as suggested by Kočárová et al. [2013], does not improve the fit compared to \( C_g = 5 \). Although no empirical values of \( t_c \) currently exist for silicate melts, the fact that gravitational film drainage in silicate melts is consistent with “bare” viscous films suggests that film drainage times in silicate melts at small Bond numbers can be assessed using equation (9).

4.2.2. Surface Tension

[40] Surface tension in the PDMS experiments is almost constant and differs from the silicate melt experiments of Kočárová [2012] by only a factor of 1.5 (Table 1). It is therefore important to ascertain that our results have dynamic similarity to natural silicate melts, where the variability in surface tension may only be approximately 1 order of magnitude [e.g., Walker and Mullins, 1981; Bagdasarov et al., 2000; Mangan and Sisson, 2005; Gardner and Ketcham, 2011; Gardner, 2012; Kočárová, 2012], with surface tension in our experimental liquids falling at the lower end of this range. Surface tension affects the dynamics of film drainage through the aforementioned force balances (equation (10), section 3.2). In our experiments, surface tension forces vary by orders of magnitude, from \( 10^{-5} \) N to \( 10^{-2} \) N, exceeding the range in surface tension forces achievable by reasonable variations in surface tension alone, for either analog fluids or silicate melts. Consequently, our experiments span a wide range of dynamic behavior with the ratio of gravitational to capillary forces ranging from \( Bo < 1 \) to \( Bo \gg 1 \) (Figure 6).

4.2.3. Viscosity

[41] Because the viscosity of natural silicate melts can exceed the viscosities of our PDMS fluids, the extrapolation of our results to magmatic systems requires dynamic similarity. The liquid viscosity in our experiments ranged from \( 10^0 \) to \( 10^3 \) Pa s, within the range of mafic to intermediate magmas [e.g., Giordano et al., 2008; Hui and Zhang, 2007]. Silicic melts, especially at low water content and low temperature can have viscosities that are substantially larger [e.g., Hui and Zhang, 2007], resulting in proportionally smaller velocities (equations (3) and (7)) and hence longer drainage times. The film Reynolds number \( \ll 1 \) for even the least viscous magmas, implying that the force balance is dominated by viscous stresses in both our PDMS
interface immobile, that is a no-slip boundary (Figure 2a). In this case, equation (1) should be used to estimate the film drainage time, as it is derived using no-slip boundary conditions [Charles and Mason, 1960; Hartland, 1970; Ivanov and Traykov, 1976]. If, on the other hand, there are no impurities at the film interface, the flow velocity is the same at the interface as in the interior (Figure 2b). The interfaces of such a “bare” film are therefore fully mobile. Drainage times of liquid films with such free-slip boundaries are given by equations (6) and (9) [Debrégeas et al., 1998; van der Schaaf and Beerkens, 2006].

Figure 7 demonstrates that in the absence of crystals or other impurities film drainage times in silicate melts are consistent with fully mobile interfaces. In the absence of crystals or other impurities that may stabilize interbubble films, equations (6) and (9) should be used to predict film drainage times in silicate melts, because calculations based on equation (1) will invariably result in vast overpredictions of drainage times.

4.3. Measurement Errors

4.3.1. Drainage Time

[44] For all experiments, the standard deviation in measured bubble radii, , is less than 1%. The resultant error bars for and are smaller than the size of symbols used to present the experimental data (Figures 6 and 7). The experiments covered a range of from approximately 1–5000 s. Figure 3 illustrates the method by which was measured from the time-lapse bubble images. From repeat measurements of on individual samples, we estimated the standard deviation of measurements scaled as , which is also smaller than the size of the data symbols.

4.3.2. Film Thickness

[45] Some degree of uncertainty is also associated with the initial and final film thickness, and , respectively. They affect the predicted drainage time (equations (6) and (9)) through the value of \( \ln(\delta_0/\delta_f) \). We measured initial film thickness (on the order of hundred’s of micrometers) using high-resolution digital images of the bubbles and surrounding films that allowed us to measure with an accuracy within 5%, with values ranging from \( \sim 10^{-5} \) m to \( \sim 10^{-4} \) m. These values are not dissimilar to the maximum thickness of interbubble films observed in some natural volcanic samples [e.g., Gaonac’h et al., 1996; Klug and Cashman, 1996; Navon and Lyakhovsky, 1998; Formenti and Druitt, 2003; Burgisser and Gardner, 2005;] experiments and magmas across the full spectrum of naturally occurring compositions, temperatures, and water contents. As already discussed in section 3.2.2, a dependence on the Ohnesorge number is also not expected. Consequently, our empirical results should be dynamically similar to gravitational and capillary film drainage in magmas ranging from basalt to water-poor rhyolite, in the absence of crystals or other stabilizing impurities, as well as bubble growth or shear deformation.

4.2.4. Interface Mobility

[42] The flow during the drainage of interbubble films depends strongly on the nature of the interfaces between the liquid film and the surrounding gas phase. In many applications, it is desirable to inhibit or slow the drainage of interbubble films, thereby creating a more stable suspension or foam. This is usually achieved by adding surfactants, which, among other things, limit the mobility of the film interfaces. Consequently, liquid flow within the film is similar to flow in a vary narrow gap, bounded by two rigid walls. The flow velocity at each interface approaches zero, making the
Polacci et al., 2006; Bai et al., 2008; Giachetti et al., 2010, 2011; Shea et al., 2010.

[46] The critical film thickness prior to the time of rupture was determined from the light reflectance of the film across a spectrum of wavelengths, using instrumentation provided by Filmetrics Incorporated. The method uses an iterative procedure to constrain film thickness and optical parameters from the spectral reflectance measurements. The spot size of these measurements is $200 \, \mu m$, resulting in a spatially averaged film thickness across the measurement area. The measured values of $\delta_f$ are typically of the order of $10^{-7} \, m$ (Figure 8). This is consistent with theoretical predictions [e.g., Sheludko, 1966; Coons et al., 2003] and also observations of minimum film thicknesses in natural silicate melts (Figure 8) [Klug and Cashman, 1996; Debrégeas et al., 1998; Navon and Lyakhovsky, 1998; Burgisser and Gardner, 2005; Castro et al., 2012; Kočárková, 2012]. From repeat measurements, the error in $\delta_f$ is estimated to be within few percent of the measured value. In contrast to films with immobile interfaces where drainage time scales as $\delta_f^{-2}$ (equation (1)), our predicted drainage times depend on $\ln (\delta_0/\delta_f)$. Consequently, the uncertainty in drainage time predictions due to measurement errors in $\delta_0$ and $\delta_f$ is less than a factor of approximately 2 and does not significantly bias our results.

5. Implications for Magmatic Systems

[47] Bubble coalescence in magmatic systems often involve ascent-driven magma decompression and shear deformation [e.g., Sparks, 1978; Toramaru, 1988; Proussevitch et al., 1993; Cashman et al., 1994; Mader et al., 1994; Klug and Cashman, 1996; Larsen et al., 2004; Burgisser and Gardner, 2005; Okumura et al., 2006; Moitra et al., 2013]. To assess the conditions under which these processes significantly increase the rates of bubble coalescence requires constraints on the time scales of interbubble film drainage in the absence of bubble growth or external deformation. In other words, capillary and gravitational film drainage give a lower bound on coalescence rates.

5.1. Predicted Film Drainage Time in Silicate Melts

[48] Film drainage rates depend on bubble size, as well as material properties, such as viscosity and surface tension. The typical vesicle size ranges between $10^{-6} \, m$ and $10^{-2} \, m$ for silicic pumices [e.g., Toramaru, 1990; Klug and Cashman, 1994; Gaonac’h et al., 1996; Blower et al., 2003; Klug et al., 2002; Rust and Cashman, 2004; Gaonac’h et al., 2005; Giachetti et al., 2010; Shea et al., 2010] and even larger in basaltic scoria [e.g., Mangan et al., 1993; Mangan and Cashman, 1996; Vergniolle, 1986; Lautze and Houghton, 2007; Polacci et al., 2008, 2009]. Surface tension for natural silicate melts ranges within an order of magnitude, from 0.03 to 0.3 N m$^{-1}$ [e.g., Epelbaum et al., 1973; Murase and McBirney, 1973; Khitarov et al., 1979; Walker and Mullins, 1981; Taniguchi, 1988; Bagdassarov et al., 2000;
Mangan and Sisson, 2005; Gardner and Ketcham, 2011; Gardner, 2012. In contrast, melt viscosity can vary by many orders of magnitude [e.g., Hess and Dingwell, 1996; Hui and Zhang, 2007].

For the range of feasible parameters discussed above, we estimate the drainage time in both capillary and gravitational regimes using equations (6) and (9). The results are shown in Figure 9 and indicate that film drainage times for adjacent bubbles in basaltic melts, even in the absence of bubble growth or external deformation, will be 10 s of seconds or less. In intermediate and silicic melts, film drainage times are considerably larger, with up to \(\sim 10^9\) s in dry rhyolitic melts.

### 5.2. Postfragmentation Coalescence in Pyroclasts

Bubble coalescence may result in magma permeability with ensuing implications for open-system magma degassing and magma fragmentation [e.g., Westrich and Eichelberger, 1994; Klug and Cashman, 1996; Saar and Manga, 1999; Blower, 2001; Burgisser and Gardner, 2005; Okumura et al., 2006; Wright et al., 2006; Mueller et al., 2008; Namiki and Manga, 2008; Okumura et al., 2008; Wright et al., 2009; Rust and Cashman, 2011; Castro et al., 2012; Namiki, 2012]. Because magma ascent conditions are inferred from measured textural characteristics and permeabilities in pyroclasts, it is important to assess the extent of bubble coalescence after magma fragmentation, and thus, the likelihood that measured values are significantly different from conditions prior to fragmentation.

During the time interval between magma fragmentation and quenching, when shear deformation may no longer be the dominant processes and when characteristic length scales for permeable gas flow are of the order of centimeters, bubble growth may be negligible, because permeable gas loss may efficiently dissipating gas pressure [Rust and Cashman, 2011; Gonnermann and Houghton, 2012]. If this is the case, postfragmentation bubble coalescence rates can be estimated from estimates for interbubble film drainage rates. Thus, if postfragmentation coalescence can be shown to be negligible, then pyroclast textural characteristics, such vesicle size distributions, as well as permeabilities likely provide a reliable record of prefragmentation conditions.

Cooling times of pyroclasts have been estimated to be of the order of 10–100 s [e.g., Thomas and Sparks, 1992; Hort and Gardner, 1998]. Figure 9 indicates that film drainage times may only be of similar or shorter duration as cooling times in relatively water-rich intermediate melts. Consequently, it is unlikely that there is sufficient interbubble film drainage in pyroclasts from explosive intermediate to silicic magmas to significantly change pyroclast vesicle size distributions and permeability after magma fragmentation.

### 6. Conclusions

We presented laboratory experiments wherein we measured the lifetime of stationary air bubbles suspended beneath the free surface of surfactant-free PDMS liquids. To ensure dynamic similarity to magmatic systems, all experiments were at small Reynolds numbers \(Re \ll 1\) and cover a wide range of Bond number, \(10^{-3} \leq Bo \leq 10^2\), with the transition between capillary and gravitational drainage corresponding to a Bond number of 0.25. Our results are consistent with similar experiments in PDMS and in silicate melts. They provide predictions of film drainage times that can be applied to bubbles in magma, if shear deformation and bubble growth are negligible, and if interbubble films are not stabilized, for example, by the presence of abundant microlites. Overall, our results indicate that textural characteristics and permeabilities of pyroclasts from intermediate to silicic magmas may preserve prefragmentation
conditions. Furthermore, film drainage times in magmas are likely orders of magnitude shorter than previous estimates that are based on formulations for liquid films with immobile interfaces.
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